

district court may dismiss *sua sponte*, with or without notice to the parties.” *Rogers v. Kroger Co.*, 669 F.2d 317, 319 (5th Cir. 1982).

As stated in the Court’s prior order dismissing Vastine’s claims against Huggins and Gateway, this action has been pending for over a year. Vastine has failed throughout this time to participate in discovery. She twice violated Court orders to respond to Huggins’s and Gateway’s discovery requests and was twice warned that this case would be dismissed if she continued to fail to comply with the Court’s orders to participate in discovery. Now, Vastine has again failed to comply with the Court’s show cause order despite a warning that her claims against Becerra would be dismissed if she did not respond to the order. Therefore, as before, the Court finds that there is a clear record of delay that justifies dismissing Vastine’s claims with prejudice for want of prosecution.

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that Vastine’s claims against Becerra are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk’s Office shall mail a copy of this Order to Vastine via certified mail. Vastine’s physical address is 147 CR 1815, Clifton, Texas 76634.

IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that the Clerk’s Office shall mail a copy of this Order to Becerra via certified mail. Becerra’s physical address is 210 Stoneham Ln, Killeen, Texas, 76542.

SIGNED on March 25, 2019.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Robert Pitman", written over a horizontal line.

ROBERT PITMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE